

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,578	12/27/2004	Takahiro Kosaka	542-015.005	2487
4955 7590 08/01/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS &		EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			SHAH, MANISH S	
	REET, P O BOX 224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MONROE, CT 06468			2853	
-		. •		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			$\mathcal{T}\mathcal{H}$		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/519,578	KOSAKA, TAKAHIRO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Manish S. Shah	2853		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address		
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 for SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	<u>//ay 2007</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
∙ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•		
Applicat	tion Papers		·		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		the Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b □ Some * c)□ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document		P. P. Al		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea 	·	ceived in this National Stage		
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ceived.		
Attachme	nt(s)				
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413)		
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		lail Date mal Patent Application		
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmer et al. (# US 2002/0150678) in view of Huang et al. (# US 2003/0007052).

Carmer et al. discloses discharging the ink (coating composition) for inkjet printing on cloth ([0151], [0155]) including a nonionic surfactant having HLB value of 6 to 15 ([0119]), a colorant ([0128]) and water ([0093]). They also disclose that the nonionic surfactant is an ethylene oxide adducts of halogenated phenol ([0119]) and amount of surfactant is from 0.01 to 20% by weight ([0120]).

Carmer et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that the in comprises guanidine weak acid salt.

Huang et al. teaches that to have a uniform and high quality printed image, an ink composition having a guanidine weak acid salt (guanidine carbonate) ([0084]). They also disclose that the guanidine weak salt is from 0.1 to 5% by weight ([0084]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition (coating composition) of Carmer et al. by the

Art Unit: 2853

aforementioned teaching of Huang et al. in order to have uniform high quality printed image.

Page 3

2. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmer et al. (# US 2002/0150678) in view of Taguchi et al. (# US 2004/0194661).

Carmer et al. discloses a process for preparing discharged polyester fiber cloth (synthetic fiber) ([0151]), which comprises a step of injecting a discharging ink (coating composition) for inkjet printing on cloth ([0151], [0155]) including a nonionic surfactant having HLB value of 6 to 15 ([0119]), a colorant ([0128]) and water ([0093]). They also disclose that step of wet heat treatment or dry heat treatment at 15 to 190 degree C, and step of soaping treatment ([0129]-[0133], [0157]-[0161]).

Carmer et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that the in comprises guanidine weak acid salt.

Huang et al. teaches that to have a uniform and high quality printed image, an ink composition having a guanidine weak acid salt (guanidine carbonate) ([0084]). They also disclose that the guanidine weak salt is from 0.1 to 5% by weight ([0084]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition (coating composition) of Carmer et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Huang et al. in order to have uniform high quality printed image.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmer et al. (# US 2002/0150678) in view of Taguchi et al. (# US 2004/0194661).

Carmer et al. discloses discharging the ink (coating composition) for inkjet printing on cloth ([0151], [0155]) including a nonionic surfactant having HLB value of 6 to 15 ([0119]), a colorant ([0128]) and water ([0093]). They also disclose that the nonionic surfactant is an ethylene oxide adducts of halogenated phenol ([0119]) and amount of surfactant is from 0.01 to 20% by weight ([0120]).

Carmer et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that the in comprises guanidine weak acid salt.

Taguchi et al. teaches that to have a light fastness, ozone fastness and heat fastness printed image, an ink composition having ethylene oxide (see Examples) and guanidine weak acid salt (guanidine acetate) ([0092]; [0171]-[0172]). They also disclose that the guanidine weak salt is from 0.1 to 5% by weight (see Examples; [0092]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition (coating composition) of Carmer et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Taguchi et al. in order to have a light fastness, ozone fastness and heat fastness printed image.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmer et al. (# US 2002/0150678) in view of Taguchi et al. (# US 2004/0194661).

Carmer et al. discloses a process for preparing discharged polyester fiber cloth (synthetic fiber) ([0151]), which comprises a step of injecting a discharging ink (coating

composition) for inkjet printing on cloth ([0151], [0155]) including a nonionic surfactant having HLB value of 6 to 15 ([0119]), a colorant ([0128]) and water ([0093]). They also disclose that step of wet heat treatment or dry heat treatment at 15 to 190 degree C, and step of soaping treatment ([0129]-[0133], [0157]-[0161]).

Page 5

Carmer et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that the in comprises guanidine weak acid salt.

Taguchi et al. teaches that to have a light fastness, ozone fastness and heat fastness printed image, an ink composition having ethylene oxide (see Examples) and guanidine weak acid salt (guanidine acetate) ([0092]; [0171]-[0172]). They also disclose that the guanidine weak salt is from 0.1 to 5% by weight (see Examples; [0092]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink composition (coating composition) of Carmer et al. by the aforementioned teaching of Taguchi et al. in order to have a light fastness, ozone fastness and heat fastness printed image.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,578

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Manish S. Shah **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2853